

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,224 11/01/2001		11/01/2001	Shunpei Yamazaki	07977/288001/US5290/5981	07977/288001/US5290/5981 2987	
26171	7590	04/18/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.				TANG, SON M		
P.O. BOX	1022					
MINNEAP	OLIS, N	MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2612	<del></del> -	
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/18/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\$

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>	
10/016,224	YAMAZAKI, SHUNPEI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Son M. Tang	2612		

Before the Fining of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Son M. Tang	2612						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the</li> </ul>								
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		∥ be entered and an ∈	explanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:								
.о. <u>— Сию</u> .								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As indicated in the Final rejection that Bauer et al. disclose the system as claimed, except that not specifically disclose the details structure of a LCD display (including TFTs, substrate and driver circuit, etc.), however, LCD display is known in the art of display environment and all the LCD display structure features as claimed in claims 3-6 have been taught by Zhang, and Zhang further stated that implement of features (TFTs, driver circuit and substrate etc.) to LCD display for the purpose of simplified the process, reliability is enhanced and application can be extended (means can be used for other purpose) [see col. 1, lines 25-45], it is obvious to implement the LCD display structure features suggesed by Zhang in the LCD display of Bauer et al. for the benefit of less labor (cost) and enhanced the reliability of the LCD display. Therefore, the references are perfectly combinable for such purpose above.

S. Tang 571-272-2962

Thomas & Mullen Brimary Examiner

AU 2612